

FILED BY FAX
ALAMEDA COUNTY

June 30, 2020

CLERK OF
THE SUPERIOR COURT
By Gina Fu, Deputy

CASE NUMBER:
RG16843631

1 MARY E. ALEXANDER, ESQ. (SBN: 104173)
malexander@maryalexanderlaw.com
2 BRENDAN D.S. WAY, ESQ. (SBN: 261705)
bway@maryalexanderlaw.com
3 CATALINA S. MUÑOZ, ESQ. (SBN: 317856)
cmunoz@maryalexanderlaw.com
4 Mary Alexander & Associates, P.C.
5 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1303
6 San Francisco, CA 94104
7 Phone: (415) 433-4440
8 Fax: (415) 433-5440

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9 David Gregory, et al.

10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA (UNLIMITED JURISDICTION)

12
13 DAVID GREGORY, et al.,

Case Nos. RG16843631 (And Related Cases)

14 Plaintiffs,

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO
JUDGE BRAD SELIGMAN, DEPT. 23

15 v.

**AMENDED JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE STATEMENT**

16 CHOR NAR SIU NG, et al.,

17 Defendants.

Date: July 1, 2020
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: 23 (dept23@alameda.courts.ca.gov)

18
19
20 And All Related Cases

21
22 Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel have met and conferred with Defense Liaison Counsel in this
23 complex proceeding of related cases and submit this Joint Case Management Conference
24 Statement in preparation for the Case Management Conference on July 1, 2020.

25 **1. Filed Cases**

26 At present, there are fifty-three (53) filed cases involving seventy-eight (78) Plaintiffs
27 including thirteen (13) personal injury victims of the Ghost Ship Fire and one (1) survivor of the
28 Ghost Ship fire who is both a Defendant and Cross-Claimant (Jonathan Hrabko), and thirty-three

1 (33) decedents. The current list of filed cases is attached as Exhibit A. The parties have dismissed
2 the cases for Sadao Dennis and Kyle O'Brien. Plaintiffs John Dixon and Robert Jacobitz have
3 passed away. The son of John Dixon, Mason Dixon, had decided not to pursue a claim.

4 **2. Status of the Pleadings**

5 The Court granted PG&E's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings on the Fifth Cause of
6 Action for Negligent Hiring, Supervision, Training and/or Retention, with leave to amend.

7 Plaintiffs and PG&E Defendants Stipulated and the Court signed an Order giving Plaintiffs
8 until July 7, 2020 to file their amended pleading with respect to the negligent hiring cause of
9 action against PG&E. PG&E Defendants may also file its Motion for Summary Judgment or, in
10 the Alternative, Summary Adjudication on shortened notice in or before July 29, 2020 while
11 keeping its September 16, 2020 reserved hearing date.

12 The City and the PG&E Defendants propose that, should Plaintiffs wish to amend further
13 the fourth amended complaint as against the City or against PG&E (apart from the negligent hiring
14 cause of action against PG&E), the Court set a July 7, 2020 deadline for filing such motions.

15 The City has reserved a September 16, 2020 hearing for its summary judgment motion,
16 which originally was due on July 1, 2020. The Court has indicated it will continue that July 1,
17 2020 deadline, and therefore, the City will not be filing its summary judgment motion by that date.

18 **3. Trial Date**

19 The Trial Date is October 19, 2020.

20 On May 29, 2020, the jury trial in the criminal proceeding against Derick Almena was
21 rescheduled from July 6, 2020 to October 5, 2020. The City and the PG&E Defendants believe
22 the October 5, 2020 trial date in that case constitutes good cause to continue the October 19, 2020
23 trial date and the associated pre-trial dates (particularly the summary judgment filing deadline).
24 They have each obtained an August 12, 2020 hearing date for their motion to continue. By email
25 to the Court dated June 24, 2020, Plaintiffs have indicated they do not oppose those motions.
26 While the Ngs do not oppose these motions, they are not agreeable to a continuance of the hearing
27 on Yee Lo Ng's Motion for Summary Judgment, set for September 9, 2020.

28 ///

1 **4. Depositions**

2 Plaintiffs have taken the depositions of nineteen (19) City of Oakland's employees
3 consisting of employees from the Oakland Police Department, Oakland Fire Department, Oakland
4 Planning and Building Department, a City Administrator, and Persons Most Knowledgeable
5 regarding Oakland's Municipal Codes and Insurance. Plaintiffs reserve the right to re-open
6 depositions of those witnesses who failed to produce all requested documents prior to their
7 respective depositions, were not adequately prepared under the provisions of *Maldonado v.*
8 *Superior Court* (2002) 94 Cal.App.4th 1390, 1396 and/or were instructed not to answer any
9 material question.

10 Plaintiffs have taken the depositions of twelve (12) of defendant PG&E's Persons Most
11 Qualified and employees, although plaintiffs reserve the right to re-open depositions of those
12 witnesses who failed to produce all requested documents prior to their respective depositions, were
13 not adequately prepared under the provisions of *Maldonado v. Superior Court* (2002) 94
14 Cal.App.4th 1390, 1396 and/or were instructed not to answer any material question.

15 The deposition of Plaintiff Samuel Maxwell has not been scheduled. The deposition of
16 Plaintiff Tamra McGill also needs to be scheduled. All other Plaintiff depositions have been
17 taken, although the City reserves the right to re-open depositions of those Plaintiffs who failed to
18 produce all requested documents prior to their respective depositions and/or were instructed not to
19 answer any material question. PG&E is in the process of assessing whether it needs to re-open the
20 depositions of those plaintiffs who resided in the Ghost Ship.

21 In addition, the parties are meeting and conferring about Plaintiffs' deposition notices to
22 PG&E employees and Persons Most Knowledgeable concerning the installation of meters at 3071
23 International Boulevard, Oakland, California and adjacent buildings.

24 The parties still need to take the depositions of the Ng defendants.

25 The deposition of Defendant and Cross-Complainant Jonathan Hrabko began on February
26 13, 2020. His continued deposition was scheduled for March 12, 2020, but was cancelled by his
27 counsel. The deposition was reset for June 29, 2020 and taken off calendar on June 25, 2020. The
28 parties are working on a date for Mr. Hrabko's continued deposition. The deposition of Defendant

1 Russell Butler was taken on August 6, 2019.

2 The continued deposition of Defendant Benjamin Cannon needs to be scheduled.

3 Defendants have taken the deposition of three third parties and expect to take the
4 deposition of several more third parties.

5 The City has discussed with Maxwell's lawyers independent medical examinations (IMEs),
6 which cannot occur while the shelter-in-place orders remain in effect.

7 **5. Pretrial Schedule and Discovery Deadlines**

8 On March 17, 2020, the parties agreed to certain discovery and pre-trial deadlines, as set
9 forth below. Defendants note the schedule will be modified given the Court's indication that the
10 trial date will be continued:

11	Trial Date:	October 19, 2020
12	Last day to serve MSJ	July 2, 2020
13	Fact discovery closes (per Judge Seligman)	August 14, 2020
14	Last day to serve Expert Disclosure (per code)	August 31, 2020
15	Last day to serve deposition designations (45 days before trial)	September 4, 2020
16	Last day to hear MSJ	September 18, 2020
17	Last day to serve counter deposition designations and objections	September 18, 2020
18	Supplemental disclosure of experts (per code)	September 21, 2020
19	Last day to serve objections to counter designations	September 25, 2020
20	Last day to file/serve motions in limine for the liability phase	14 days before Pretrial Conference
21	Expert discovery closes (per code)	October 5, 2020
22	Last day to file/serve oppositions to motions in limine for the liability phase	7 days before Pretrial Conference
23	Final Pretrial Readiness Conference	30 days before Trial
24	Joint Jury Instructions	
25	Joint Verdict Form	
26	Joint Exhibit List (no duplicates)	

1 Joint Witness List
 2 Joint Jury Questionnaire
 3 Joint Statement of the Case
 4 Time Estimate for Trial
 5 Depo Designations (lodge list)

6 Trial

October 19, 2020

7 Pre-marked Exhibits
 8 Must exchange opening statement PowerPoints/demonstratives 48 hours
 9 before opening statements
 10 Must identify names of witnesses and exhibits to opposing party 48 hours
 11 before calling to the stand

12 **6. Pretrial Conference Limitations and Deadlines for Motions in Limine,**

13 **Deposition Designations and Jury Instructions**

14 **Motions in Limine**

15 In light of the Court's proposal to allow for additional motions in limine for good cause
 16 shown, Plaintiffs revise their prior proposal from ten (10) motions in limine to five (5) motions in
 17 limine for Plaintiffs for the liability phase of the trial. Plaintiffs suggest five (5) motions in limine
 18 for each of the three main defendants, City of Oakland, Ngs and PG&E, for a total of 15, for the
 19 liability phase of the trial.

20 Acknowledging that the Court has made clear that motions in limine should be limited and
 21 relate only to discrete evidentiary issues, Defendants are not currently in a position to propose a
 22 specific number of motions in limine (for either the liability or damages phases). Defendants
 23 propose that the parties continue to meet and confer, and the Court address this issue in 90 days,
 24 after the close of discovery.

25 **Deposition Designations**

26 The parties agree that deposition designations be due 45 days before trial, counter
 27 designation and objections two weeks later and rebuttal designations and objections to counter
 28 designations a week later.

Pretrial Conference

The parties agree to a Pre-trial Conference 30 days before trial.

Jury Instructions

1 Plaintiffs will meet and confer with defendants regarding a deadline to provide the Court
2 with the jury instructions regarding mandatory duties to immediately follow the Court's rulings on
3 any Motions for Summary Judgment. The City proposes that the parties meet and confer within
4 the next thirty days concerning when such a deadline should be set.

5 **7. Trial Structuring**

6 **Plaintiffs' Position:**

7 All of the parties except the City have agreed to bifurcate the trial into two phases: (1)
8 liability and (2) damages. The City proposes a trifurcated trial (see below), which Plaintiffs
9 oppose.

10 Plaintiffs' position is that there should be one jury for both phases of the trial. Plaintiffs'
11 oppose Defendants' position that there should be different juries for each phase of the trial (see
12 below).

13 Plaintiffs' estimate of the length of the liability phase is four to six weeks or 120 to 160
14 hours. The estimate for the damages phase is four weeks or 120 hours.

15 **Defendants' Position:**

16 **I. Liability Phase**

17 **A. Determination of Mandatory duties – non-jury (All Plaintiffs and the City only):**

18 The Court will decide the issues of whether a statute imposes a mandatory duty, and whether it
19 was designed to protect against the type of harm suffered. (*Haggis v. City of Los Angeles* (2000)
20 22 Cal.4th 490, 499.) This threshold issue must be decided before evidence can be presented to a
21 jury with respect to liability. Obviously, both the Plaintiffs and the City need to know the specific
22 mandatory duties Plaintiffs will present to the jury and the specific actions Plaintiffs believe the
23 City was required to take to discharge those duties.

24 Therefore, under Code of Civil Procedure section 598, the City proposes that a preliminary
25 or foundational evidentiary proceeding be held at which the Court will hear evidence and
26 argument concerning which, if any, of the statutory duties alleged in the 11th Cause of Action
27 constitute mandatory duties of the type that can support a claim against the City. In order to
28 ensure that this phase can proceed in an efficient manner, and so that each side can arrange for the

1 appearance of appropriate witnesses, Plaintiffs would identify, no later than September 18, the
2 specific statutes and other laws that they intend to rely on, along with a list of witnesses and
3 exhibits that they intend to present. No later than October 2, the City will identify those statutes
4 and other laws, if any, that it agrees may form the basis of a mandatory duty claim in this case,
5 along with a list of witnesses and exhibits that it intends to present. The Court would conduct an
6 evidentiary hearing – presumably commencing on October 19 -- in which both sides have the
7 opportunity to present live witness testimony. The City believes the evidentiary hearing will
8 require approximately **3 to 4 days**. Following such hearing and the submission of any briefing
9 requested by the Court, the Court would issue its ruling identifying the mandatory duties, if any,
10 on which Plaintiffs may proceed against the City. Should the Court adopt the City's proposal for
11 this evidentiary hearing, certain aspects of the pretrial schedule may need to be adjusted slightly.

12 **B. Determination of Liability -- jury** (All Plaintiffs, the City [if the Court has
13 found a statutory basis for liability], and all remaining Defendants): A jury would hear evidence,
14 and render a verdict, concerning liability. The jury's verdict will also determine which parties, if
15 any, will participate in Phase II. The Defendants believe the liability case as against all
16 Defendants will take approximately **100 court days**.

17 **II. Damages Phase** (All parties remaining in the case): Three sets of juries would hear
18 evidence as to damages and render separate verdicts as to each Plaintiff. The Defendants believe
19 that this phase, if it involves all Plaintiffs, will take approximately **90 court days in total**.

20 Defendants propose that the damages phase be tried by three separate juries:

- 21 1. A jury for a consolidated damages phase for the claims of the resident plaintiffs
22 (estimated to be 12 court days).
- 23 2. A jury for a consolidated damages phase for the claims of the wrongful death plaintiffs
24 (estimated to be 65 court days).
- 25 3. A jury for a consolidated damages phase for the claims of Mr. Maxwell (trial
26 estimated to be 13 court days).

27 **8. Settlements**

28 Plaintiffs have settled for the policy limits with Defendants Russell Butler and Benjamin

1 Cannon. Defendants' Butler and Cannon will file applications or motions for good faith
2 settlement determination and order.

3 **9. Mediation**

4 There was a continued mediation with Michael Ornstil of JAMS on June 23, 2020, except
5 for the Ngs. The next mediation session with the City is June 30, 2020.

6 **10. Further Case Management Conference**

7 The parties respectfully request that a further Case Management Conference be set for 30
8 days.

9 Respectfully submitted,

10 DATED: June 30, 2020

MARY ALEXANDER & ASSOCIATES, P.C

11
12
13 By: 
14 Mary E. Alexander, Esq.
15 *Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel*

16 DATED: June 30, 2020

BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA, LLP

17
18 By: 
19 Keith G. Bremer, Esq.
20 Raymond Meyer, Jr., Esq.
21 Stephen C. Dreher, Esq.
22 *Defendants' Liaison Counsel*

Exhibit A

OAKLAND FIRE "GHOST SHIP" CASES
4/11/20

	Case Name	Case No.	Filed	Plaintiffs' Attorneys
1	Askew, Christopher	RG17873709	8/31/2017	Ribera Law Firm
2	Askew, Leisa	RG17873957	9/05/17	Liuzzi, Murphy, Solomon, Churton, Hale & Winnett, LLP
3	Avalos, Jose	RG17866659	7/06/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
4	Barmby, Janet & John and Jean-Thierry Mendiola	RG17869155	7/26/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
5	Bohlka, Jack	RG17846748	1/24/17	Law Office of Joshua Cohen Slatkin
6	Bohlka, Margaret	RG17851011	2/28/17	Thon Beck Vanni Callahan & Powell
7	Brito, Carmen	RG17861366	5/23/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
8	Calvera, David	RG17869151	7/26/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
9	Chavarria, Ivannia	RG17872007	8/17/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
10	Cidlik, Carol	RG17860699	5/17/17	Dolan Law Firm PC
11	Clark, Rodney	RG17854628	3/28/17	The Brandi Law Firm
12	Cline, Dennis & Kerry	RG17862635	6/2/17	Dreyer Babich Buccola Wood Campora, LLP
13	Danemayer, Christopher & Pamela Krueger	RG17861609	5/24/17	Rouda Feder Tietjen McGuinn
14	Dennis, Sadao Dismissed 8/23/19	RG17863866	6/13/17	Girardi & Keese
15	Dolan, Colleen	RG17860682	5/17/17	The Brandi Law Firm
16	Farsoudi-Hoda, Farzaneh	RG17871774	8/16/17	Gwilliam, Ivary, Chiosso, Cavalli & Brewer Siegel & Yee
17	Fritz, Bruce & Nancye	RG17853255	3/16/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
18	Ghassan, Alexandria & Lucienne and Lesley Moran	RG17848401	2/6/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
19	Grandchamps, Emilie	RG17849318	2/14/17	Girardi & Keese; The Cochran Firm
20	Gregory, David & Kimberly	RG16843631	12/23/16	Mary Alexander & Associates
21	Hough, Brian and Judy	RG17860697	5/17/17	Dolan Law Firm PC
22	Igaz, John Georges	RG17863541	6/9/17	Dolan Law Firm PC

4/11/2020

23-	Jacobitz, Robert Deceased	RG17863858	6/13/17	Girardi & Keese
24	Jahanbani, Natalie	RG17848158	2/3/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
25	Jo, Yoo Sook & Caleb Seong	RG17871131	8/10/17	Law Offices of Do Kim
26	Kelber, Nikki	RG17861368	5/23/17	Fiore Achermann
27	Kellogg, George	RG17857948	4/25/17	Law Office of John R. Browne, III
28	Kennon, Adam	RG17866657	7/06/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
29	Kershaw, Andrew; Regan, Linda & Allen, Paul	RG17861362	5/23/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
30	Kopelman, Yraina	RG17854105	3/23/17	Matiasic & Johnson LLP
31	Langfur, Benjamin	RG18890521	1/25/18	Girardi & Keese
32	Lapine, Edmund	RG17854328	3/24/17	Baum Hedlund
33	Madden, Michael & Catherine	RG16843633	12/23/16	Mary Alexander & Associates
34	Marin, Aaron	RG17863850	6/13/17	Girardi & Keese
35	Matlock, Kathe & John	RG17864342	6/16/17	Matiasic & Johnson LLP
36	Maxwell, Samuel	RG17853077	3/15/17	Kaupp & Feinberg; Bracamontes & Vlasak
37	McCarty, Gene and Colleen	RG17856893	4/17/17	Abir Cohen Treysen Salo, LLP
38	McGill, Tamra & Phillip	RG17869439	7/28/17	Dreyer Babich Buccola Wood Campora, LLP
39	Morris, Michael &Toshiko	RG17845655	1/13/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
40	Morton, Hunter	RG17871997	8/17/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
41-	O'Brien, Kyle Dismissed 8/08/19	RG17881032	10/31/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
42	Perrault, Anthony	RG17884460	12/01/17	Law Office of Paul L. Alaga
43	Plotkin, Gary and Valerie	RG17850334	2/16/17	Arns Law Firm The Brandi Law Firm
44	Porter, Gretchen and John Dixon Deceased	RG17860470	5/16/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
45	Ruiz, Andrew	RG17877854	10/05/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
46	Runnels, Richard & Lorraine	RG17860700	5/17/17	Dolan Law Firm PC
47	Russell, Michael	RG17872021	8/17/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
48	Slocum, Susan	RG17854977	3/30/17	Mary Alexander & Associates

49	Sylvan, Jeffrey and Ursula	RG17884203	11/30/17	Law Office of Randal Blair
50	Tanouye, Court and Tomoko	RG18928379	11/13/18	Mary Alexander & Associates
51	Timonen, Yrjo & Kirsi Piha-Timonen	RG17851540	3/3/17	Girardi & Keese; The Cochran Firm
52	Vega, Leah	RG17866652	7/06/17	Mary Alexander & Associates
53	Vega, Maria & Manuel	RG17845597	1/13/17	Dreyer Babich Buccola Wood Campora, LLP
54	Wadsworth, Edward & Suzanne	RG16843856	12/29/16	Mary Alexander & Associates
55	Walrath, James & Deborah	RG17854654	3/28/17	Dreyer Babich Buccola Wood Campora, LLP
56	Wittenauer, Randall	RG17864346	6/16/17	Matiasic & Johnson LLP

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within entitled action. I am employed at Mary Alexander & Associates, P.C., 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1303, San Francisco, California 94104.

I served the documents(s) listed below as follows:


Date Served: June 30, 2020

Documents Served: **AMENDED JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT**

Parties Served: See attached list.

- BY MAIL:** I placed a true and correct copy of the documents(s) in a sealed envelope with first class postage fully prepaid in the United States Mail at San Francisco, California, addressed as shown on the attached list.
- BY PERSONAL SERVICE:** I served a true and correct copy of the above document(s) by delivering them to the persons shown on the attached list.
- BY FEDERAL EXPRESS:** I sent a true and correct copy of the document(s) for delivery to the persons shown on the attached list in accordance with standard Federal Express Overnight delivery procedures.
- BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION:** I e-mailed a true and correct copy of the document(s) addressed to the persons shown on the attached list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 30, 2020, at Oakland, California.



CARLA JIMENEZ

PROOF OF SERVICE

1 **SERVICE LIST GHOST SHIP LITIGATION**

updated 6/10/2020

<p>2 Mary E. Alexander, Esq. 3 Brendan D.S. Way, Esq. 4 Catalina S. Munoz, Esq. 5 Mary Alexander & Associates, P.C. 6 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1303 7 San Francisco, CA 94104 8 Phone: (415) 433-4440 9 Facsimile: (415) 433-5440 10 malexander@maryalexanderlaw.com 11 bway@maryalexanderlaw.com 12 cmunoz@maryalexanderlaw.com</p>	<p>Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel for all related actions and Attorneys for Plaintiffs in:</p> <p><i>Avalos v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17866659 ; <i>Barmby, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17869155; <i>Brito v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17861366 ; <i>Calvera v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17869151; <i>Chavarria v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Case No. RG17872007; <i>Fritz, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17853255 ; <i>Ghassan, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17848401; <i>Gregory, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG16843631; <i>Jahanbani v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17848158; <i>Kennon v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17866657 <i>Kershaw, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17861362; <i>Madden, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG16843633; <i>Morris, et al. v Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17845655; <i>Morton v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17871997; <i>Porter, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17860470; <i>Ruiz, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17877854 <i>Russell v. Ng</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17872021; <i>Slocum. v. Ng, et al.,</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17854977; <i>Tanouye v. Ng, et al.,</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG18928379;</p>
---	--

1		<i>Vega v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17866652;
2		<i>Wadsworth, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No.
3		RG16843856
4	Bobby Thompson, Esq.	Attorneys for Plaintiffs in:
5	Thompson Law Offices, P.C.	<i>Avalos v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17866659 ;
6	700 Airport Blvd., Suite 160	<i>Barmby, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17869155;
7	Burlingame, CA 94010	<i>Brito v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17861366 ;
8	Phone: (650) 513-6111	<i>Calvera v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17869151;
9	Facsimile: 650-513-6071	<i>Chavarria v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Case No. RG17872007;
10	bobby@tlopc.com	<i>Fritz, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17853255 ;
11		<i>Ghassan, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17848401;
12		<i>Gregory, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG16843631;
13		<i>Jahanbani v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17848158;
14		<i>Kennon v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17866657
15		<i>Kershaw, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17861362;
16		<i>Madden, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG16843633;
17		<i>Morris, et al. v Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17845655;
18		<i>Morton v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17871997;
19		<i>Porter, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17860470;
20		<i>Ruiz, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17877854
21		<i>Russell v. Ng</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17872021;
22		<i>Slocum. V. Ng, et al.,</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17854977;
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1		<i>Vega v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17866652;
2		<i>Wadsworth, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No.
3		RG16843856
4	Joshua Cohen Slatkin, Esq. Law Office of Joshua Cohen Slatkin 2001 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 320 Santa Monica, CA 90403 Phone: (310) 627-2699 Facsimile: (310) 943-2757 jcohenslatkin@jcslaw4you.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Jack Bohlka v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17846748
5		
6		
7		
8	Gregory Vanni, Esq. Raffi H. Ohanian, Esq. Thon Beck Vanni Callahan & Powell The Commons 140 South Lake Avenue, Suite 208 Pasadena, CA 91101-4904 Phone: (626) 795-8333 Facsimile: (626) 449-9933 gvanni@thonbeck.com rohanian@thonbeck.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Margaret Bohlka v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17851011
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	Thomas Brandi, Esq. Brian Malloy, Esq. The Brandi Law Firm 354 Pine Street, 3 rd floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: (415) 989-1800 Facsimile: (415) 989-1801 tjb@brandilaw.com bjm@brandilaw.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff <i>Clark v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17854628 <i>Dolan v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17860682 <i>Plotkin, et al. v Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17850334
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	Christopher T. Aumais, Esq. Ashkahn Mohamadi, Esq. Arin Scapa, Esq. Girardi Keese 1126 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90017 Phone: (213) 977-0211 Facsimile: (213) 481-1554 caumais@girardikeese.com amohamadi@girardikeese.com ascapa@girardikeese.com	Attorneys for Plaintiffs in: <i>Grandchamps, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17849318 <i>Jacobitz v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17863858 <i>Marin v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17863850 <i>Timonen, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17851540
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

27

28

1		<i>Langfur v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG18890521
2	Marcelis Morris, Esq. The Cochran Firm 4929 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1010 Los Angeles, CA 90010 Phone: (323) 435-8205 Facsimile: (323) 282-5280 Mmorris@CochranFirm.com	Attorneys for Plaintiffs in: <i>Grandchamps, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17849318; <i>McCarty, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17856893 <i>Timonen, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17851540
3	Robert S. Arns, Esq. Jonathan E. Davis, Esq. Kevin M. Osborne, Esq. Robert C. Foss, Esq. The Arns Law Firm 515 Folsom St., 3 rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Phone: (415) 495-7800 Facsimile: (415) 495-7888 rsa@arnslaw.com jed@arnslaw.com kmo@arnslaw.com ref@arnslaw.com	Attorneys for Plaintiffs in: <i>Plotkin, et al. v Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17850334
4	W. Gordon Kaupp, Esq. Kaupp & Feinberg LLP One Sansome Street, 35 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: (415) 896-4588 Facsimile: (415) 294-9127 gordon@kauppfeinberg.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Samuel Maxwell v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17853077
5	Ryan J. Vlasak, Esq. Bracamontes & Vlasak, P.C. 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 870 San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: (415) 835-6777 Facsimile: (415) 835-6780 rvlasak@bvlawssf.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Samuel Maxwell v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17853077
6	Ronald Goldman, Esq. Clay Robbins, III, Esq. Baum Hedlund Aristei Goldman, PC 12100 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 950 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Phone: (310) 207-3233	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Robert Lapine v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17854328

1	Rgoldman@baumhedlundlaw.com Crobbins@baumhedlundlaw.com	
2	Paul A. Matiasic, Esq. Hannah E. Mohr, Esq. The Matiasic Firm, P.C. 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3850 San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: (415) 675-1089 Facsimile: (415) 675-1103 matiasic@mjlawoffice.com mohr@mjlawoffice.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Yraina L. Kopelman v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17854105 <i>Matlock, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17864342 <i>Wittenauer. V. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17864346
3	John R. Browne, III, Esq. Law Offices of John R. Browne, III 50 California Street, Suite 3500 San Francisco, CA 94111 Phone: (415) 421-6700 Facsimile: (415) 398-2438 johnrbrowne@sbcglobal.net	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Kellogg v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17857948
4	Christopher Dolan, Esq. Lourdes De Armas, Esq. Taylor French, Esq. Dolan Law Firm PC 1438 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: (415) 636-8160 Facsimile: (415) 421-2830 chris@cbdlaw.com Lourdes.dearmas@dolanlawfirm.com Taylor.french@dolanlawfirm.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Cidlik v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17860699 <i>Hough v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17860697 <i>Igaz v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17863541 <i>Runnels v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17860700
5	John M. Feder, Esq. Mandisa Logan, Esq. Rouda Feder Tietjen McGuinn 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1900 San Francisco, CA 94104 Phone: (415) 398-5398 Facsimile: (415) 398-8169 jfeder@rftmlaw.com mlogan@rftmlaw.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Danemayer, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17861609
6	Roger A. Dreyer, Esq. Robert B. Bale, Esq. Anton J. Babich, Esq. Dreyer Babich Buccola Wood Campora, LLP 20 Bicentennial Circle	Attorneys for Plaintiffs in: <i>Cline, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17862635;

27

28

1 2 3 4	Sacramento, CA 95826 Phone: (916) 379-3500 Facsimile: (916) 379-3599 rdreyer@dbbwc.com rbale@dbbwc.com ababich@dbbwc.com	<i>McGill, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17869439; <i>Vega, et al. v Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17845597; <i>Walrath, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17854654
5 6 7 8	Do Kim, Esq. Law Offices of Do Kim APLC 3435 Wilshire Blvd Ste 2700 Los Angeles, CA 90010-2013 Phone: (213) 251-5440 Facsimile: (213) 232-4919 dkim@dokimlaw.com	Attorneys for Plaintiffs in: <i>Jo, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17871131
9 10 11 12 13	J. Gary Gwilliam, Esq. Winston W. Moody, Esq. Gwilliam, Ivary, Chiosso, Cavalli & Brewer 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1600 Oakland, CA 94612 Phone: (510) 832-5411 Facsimile: (510) 832-1918 ggwilliam@giccb.com wmoody@giccb.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Farsoudi-Hoda. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17871774
14 15 16 17 18	Dan Siegel, Esq. Siegel & Yee 499 14 th Street, Suite 300 Oakland, CA 94612 Phone: (510) 839-1200 Facsimile: (510) 444-6698 dansiegel@siegelyee.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Farsoudi-Hoda. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17871774
19 20 21 22	Sandra Ribera Speed, Esq. Ribera Law Firm 157 West Portal Avenue, Suite 2 San Francisco, CA 94127 Phone: (415) 576-1600 Facsimile: (415) 842-0321 sribera@riberalaw.com	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Christopher Askew v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17873709
23 24 25 26	Frank Liuzzi, Esq. David L. Winnett, Esq. Liuzzi, Murphy, Solomon, Churton, Hale & Winnett, LLP 7200 Redwood Blvd., Suite 300 Novato, CA 94945 Phone: (415) 400-7004	Attorneys for Plaintiff in: <i>Leisa Askew v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG1783957

27
28

6

SERVICE LIST GHOST SHIP LITIGATION

1	Facsimile: (415) 400-7055	
2	frank@lmslaw.com	
3	dave@lmslaw.com	
4	Paul L. Alaga, Esq.	Attorneys for Plaintiff in:
5	Law Office of Paul L. Alaga	<i>Anthony Perrault v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda
6	885 Bryant St., 2 nd Floor	County Superior Court Case No.
7	San Francisco, CA 94103	RG17884460
8	Phone: (415) 581-0885	
9	Facsimile: (415) 581-0887	
10	paulalaga@sflaw.net	
11	Randal F. Blair, Esq.	Attorneys for Plaintiff in:
12	Law Office of Randal Blair	<i>Sylvan, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County
13	770 Warfield Avenue, Floor 1	Superior Court Case No. RG17884203
14	Oakland, CA 94610-2758	
15	Phone: (415) 205-3766	
16	blairlawoffice99@gmail.com	
17	Jennifer Fiore, Esq.	Attorneys for Plaintiff in:
18	Sophia Achermann, Esq.	<i>Kelber v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County Superior
19	Alexandra Hamilton, Esq.	Court Case No. RG17861368
20	Fiore Achermann	
21	340 Pine Street, Suite 503	
22	San Francisco, CA 94104	
23	Phone: (415) 550-0650	
24	jennifer@theFAfirm.com	
25	sophia@theFAfirm.com	
26	alexandra@theFAfirm.com	
27	Boris Treyson, Esq.	Attorneys for Plaintiff in:
28	Abir Cohen Treyson Salo, LLP	<i>McCarty, et al. v. Ng, et al.</i> Alameda County
29	16001 Ventura Blvd., Suite 200	Superior Court Case No. RG17856893
30	Encino, CA 91436	
31	Phone: (424) 288-4367	
32	Facsimile: (424) 288-4368	
33	btreyson@actslaw.com	
34	jfinnerty@actslaw.com	
35	Raymond Meyer, Jr., Esq.	Defendants' Liaison Counsel for all related
36	Stephen C. Dreher, Esq.	actions and Attorneys for Defendants Chor
37	Keith G. Bremer, Esq.	Nar Siu Ng, Individually and as Trustee of the
38	Bremer Whyte Brown & O'Meara LLP	Chor Nar Siu Ng Revocable Trust Dated
39	300 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza	September 28, 2007 and Eva Ng
40	The Rotunda Building, Suite 355	
41	Oakland, CA 94612	
42	Phone: (510) 540-4881	
43	Facsimile: (510) 540-4889	

1	rmeyer@bremerwhyte.com	
2	sdreher@bremerwhyte.com	
	kbremer@bremerwhyte.com	
3	Daniel H. Bookin, Esq.	Attorneys for Defendants Chor Nar Siu Ng,
4	O'Melveny & Meyers, LLP	Individually and as Trustee of the Chor Nar
5	Two Embarcadero Center, 28 th Floor	Siu Ng Revocable Trust Dated September 28,
6	San Francisco, CA 94111	2007 and Eva Ng
	Phone: (415) 984-8700	
	Facsimile: (415) 984-8701	
	dbookin@omm.com	
7	Clifford E. Yin, Esq.	Attorneys for City of Oakland
8	Coblentz Patch Duffy & Bass LP	
9	One Montgomery Street, Suite 3000	
10	San Francisco, CA 94104	
	Phone: (415) 391-4800	
	Facsimile: (415) 989-1663	
	Ef-cey@cpbd.com	
11	Orestes Alexander Cross, Esq.	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-
12	Valor Legal, P.C.	Complainant Jonathan Hrabko
13	2600 Tenth Street, Ste. 435	
14	Berkeley, CA 94710	
	Phone: (415) 545-8394	
	Facsimile: (415) 520-9695	
	E-mail: ocross@valorlegal.com	
15	S. Dean Ruiz, Esq.	Attorneys for Defendant Daniel Lopez
16	Harris, Perisho & Ruiz	
17	Brookside Corporate Center	
18	3439 Brookside Road, Suite 210	
	Stockton, CA 95129	
	Phone: (209) 957-4254	
	Facsimile: (209) 957-5338	
	E-mail: dean@hprlaw.net	
20	Kate Dyer, Esq.	Attorneys for Defendants Pacific Gas &
21	Clarence Dyer & Cohen LLP	Electric Company and PG&E Corporation
22	899 Ellis Street	
23	San Francisco, CA 94109	
	Phone: (415) 749-1800	
	Facsimile: (415) 749-1694	
	E-mail: kdyer@clarencedyer.com	
24	Laurie Edelstein, Esq.	Attorneys for Defendants Pacific Gas &
25	Michael A. Keough, Esq.	Electric Company and PG&E Corporation
26	Johanna Oh, Esq.	
	Steptoc & Johnson LLP	

27

28

1	One Market Plaza	
2	Spear Tower, Suite 3900	
3	San Francisco, CA 94105	
4	Phone: (415) 365-6700	
5	Facsimile: (415) 365-6699	
6	E-mail: ledelstein@steptoe.com	
7	mkeough@steptoe.com	
8	joh@steptoe.com	
9	Evan Glassman, Esq. (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	Attorneys for Defendants Pacific Gas & Electric Company and PG&E Corporation
10	Step toe & Johnson LLP	
11	1114 Avenue of the Americas	
12	New York, NY 10036	
13	Phone: (212) 506-3900	
14	eglassman@steptoe.com	
15	Jon B. Zimmerman, Esq.	Attorneys for Defendant Russell E.L. Butler
16	Bonnie. M. Ross, Esq.	aka Black Jeans
17	Messner Reeves LLP	
18	160 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 1000	
19	San Jose, CA 95113	
20	Phone: (408) 397-298-7120	
21	Phone: (408) 792-5906 - direct	
22	Facsimile: (408) 295-0477	
23	jzimmerman@messner.com	
24	bross@messner.com	
25	Anderson Franco, Esq.	Attorneys for Defendant Benjamin Cannon
26	Gregory de la Pena, Esq.	
27	De la Pena & Holiday, LLP	
28	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2860	
29	San Francisco, CA 94111	
30	Phone: (415) 268-8000	
31	Fax: (415) 268-8180	
32	AFranco@dplhlaw.com	
33	Gdelapena@dplhlaw.com	
34	Max Harris	Defendant, In Pro Per
35	maxharrisart@gmail.com	
36	maxlaughingmoon@gmail.com	