1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
3	
4	CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT
5	JAMES COLE,)
6	Petitioner,)
7	vs.)) No. 23-FAM-01674-B
8	BRITTINY LITTLE,
9	Respondent.)
10)
11	
12	
13	
14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHINHAYI CADET, JUDGE
16	DEPARTMENT 5
17	MONDAY, JANUARY 22, 2024
	MONDAI, OANOAKI 22, 2024
18	APPEARANCES:
19	
20	For the Petitioner: IN PROPRIA PERSONA
21	
22	For the Respondent: ANDREW G. WATTERS
23	BY: ANDREW G. WATTERS, ESQ. 555 Twin Dolphin Dr., Ste. 135
24	Redwood City, CA 94065
25	
26	

Monday, January 22, 2024 Redwood City, CA 1 MORNING SESSION 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 4 THE COURT: Calling the case of Cole and Little this is case number 23-FAM-01674-B. 5 If the parties could please state their appearances 6 for the record. 7 MR. WATTERS: Good morning, Your Honor, 8 Andrew Watters for respondent Brittiny Little who is 9 present. 10 THE COURT: Welcome to you both. And I understand 11 that Mr. Cole and his attorney are not here today, is that 12 because you've all reached an agreement? 13 MR. WATTERS: We thought we had an agreement on 14 Friday, Your Honor, but I have not heard anything back since 15 Friday at 1:30 p.m., so I'm a little concerned about where 16 they are and what their intentions are. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Friday at 1:00 p.m. All right. 18 So I've been presented an -- wow, so what was the status of 19 the negotiation, I've been presented with an order on request 20 to continue hearing asking that this be put over to 2.1 January 13th, 2025, and that supervised visitation take place 22 23 in the interim. So what were the circumstances of your negotiations as of Friday? 2.4 The agreement is as stated in DV-116 25 MR. WATTERS:

as of Friday, the only issue remaining was the number of

26

hours per week of supervised visitation and the identity of the professional supervisor. Protero does provide supervised visitation, so it should be no big deal to implement that.

2.4

But the issue is we sent them the proposed supervisor, who is an approved provider in the Alameda County system, and she's available, her name is Laura Inzunza, her information is attached to the last page of the DV-116.

THE COURT: Okay. You can continue.

MR. WATTERS: As of Friday afternoon based on the pending criminal case, we had agreed on what is stated in the DV-116, except the number of hours per week.

THE COURT: All right. I do see that a TRO provides for supervised visitation and the TRO states that this is to be arranged by the parties as no information was provided to the Court as to who would be supervising any benefit -- I'm sorry, any visits with the mother. The parties may contact Rally Family Services for services related to supervised visitation.

So is your proposed supervisor with Rally?

MR. WATTERS: I'm not sure whether she's with Rally, Your Honor. She's on the Alameda County approved provider's list.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I guess with respect to -- well, it says, "Parties stipulate to professionally supervised visitation as stated on the record." I'm a little uncomfortable with some of this since the other side isn't

here and you said you're not sure about their position. 1 MR. WATTERS: I didn't realize they wouldn't be 2 attending today, Your Honor. I had proposed by e-mail that 3 4 we discussed the number of hours per week before court this morning or during the hearing, and I have not received any 5 response. 6 I'm also prepared to accept a dismissal for failure 7 to prosecute if that's acceptable. 8 THE COURT: No, that will not be acceptable. All 9 right. Good morning. 10 MR. COLE: Good morning. 11 THE COURT: Is this James Cole? 12 MR. COLE: This is he. 13 THE COURT: So the record will reflect that James 14 Cole is here. Thank you for being here we were getting a 15 little concerned about where you were. 16 MR. COLE: Traffic and teething baby. 17 THE COURT: No problem. In the future you can feel 18 free to e-mail the Clerk of the Department so at least we 19 know you're coming. 20 MR. COLE: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: I can see that you look a little rushed 22 23 and whatnot, take a breath you're fine. MR. COLE: Thank you. 2.4 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Cole, just to 25 summarize what's taken place thus far, Ms. Little and her 26

counsel have presented me with a proposed DV-116, which is an order on request to continue hearing. The record will reflect it appears that Mr. Watters has just handed Mr. Cole a copy. So first this would provide a new court date of January 13th, 2025 at 9:00 a.m., is that agreeable to you? MR. COLE: 2025. THE COURT: Or would you like an earlier date? MR. COLE: Earlier the better. THE COURT: All right. So the issue is that, let's see, it says here at Number 6, "Restrained party has a 10 pending criminal case in this County with a first court date of February 7, 2024. And the parties agree that the criminal 12 case should be resolved before hearing this trial." 13 So on what basis was the January 13th, 2025 date chosen? 15 MR. WATTERS: The dates from your clerk, Your Honor, 16 is the first available. 17 THE COURT: For hearing, I would like to put it on prior to that. Are you still both estimating two days -- or, I'm sorry, two half days? 20 MR. WATTERS: One full day would be accurate, Your 21 Honor, I think. Certainly we would like this heard earlier, 22 we just thought the first available date was next year. 23 THE COURT: All right. Let's go off the record for 25 a moment. (A brief recess was taken.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

18

19

2.4

26

THE COURT: All right. So we're back on the record. 1 After checking the dates, all right, so we have 2 January 13th, 2025 at 9:00 a.m. Is that for six hours? 3 MR. WATTERS: It appeared it was January 13th and 14th for two half days, Your Honor. 5 Yes, two half days. THE CLERK: 6 THE COURT: All right. So January 13th and I will 7 write in January 14, 2025. And the TRO will now expire on 8 January 14, 2025. So in the interim, though, Mr. Cole you 9 have your temporary restraining order, your restraining order 10 stays in effect until the evidentiary hearing. 11 MR. COLE: Okay. 12 THE COURT: And to the extent that you all would 13 like earlier dates, one strategy is to perhaps reach out to 14 the clerk four to six months from now and see if you can get 15 on the two set calendar, which I have on Friday afternoons, 16 and that's an informal calendar where we just discuss 17 scheduling. So if in the interim some other trial dates have 18 been vacated, that would be a way for you to possibly take 19 those trial dates, makes sense? 20 MR. COLE: Contact clerk on Fridays. 2.1 THE COURT: Not on Fridays, you can send an e-mail 22 23 and ask to get on the Friday calendar. MR. COLE: Got it. Thank you. 2.4

MR. WATTERS: Once we know where the criminal case

stands, Your Honor, we would be in a better position to

25

26

check-in. It might take six months or so to resolve.

THE COURT: I hear you, so how about this: Once the criminal case resolves, if you all could reach out to the clerk. And, Mr. Watters, obviously, if you reach out, you need to CC Mr. Cole and his attorney. And, Mr. Cole, if you reach out, you also have to CC Ms. Little's attorney.

Mr. Cole, is your attorney still representing you?

MR. COLE: Yes.

2.4

THE COURT: Oh, okay, just not here today?

MR. COLE: We had planned on everything coming and then we heard about the criminal case, that was the first time I heard about that on Friday, so whatever my attorney and Mr. Watters were talking about going into the weekend, there was no communication with me. I was just supposed to make sure to be here.

THE COURT: All right. Understood. All right so we have dealt with the issue of a continuance, so there's been a request for supervised visitation, the TRO had allowed for supervised visitation, your thoughts, Mr. Cole?

MR. COLE: I'm open to supervised visitation as long as we can come to an agreement that matches with my scheduling as well.

THE COURT: All right. So what I will do is, so

I'll make an interim order regarding supervised visitation,
but I would also like to send you all to family court
services for custody and visitation recommending counseling,

and we can get you a short turnaround date, and then we'll return for that for receipt of that family court services' report. So let's pick a date for an appointment for them to see family court services, it would be, it would be via Zoom and the parties.

2.4

And so if the parties, there's pieces of paper there and pencils for you to write your contact information for family court services, so that would include your telephone number and your e-mail address. So if you could each fill that out and then pass it along to the deputy, we will forward that information to family court services.

MR. COLE: I do have a question in regards to there is a part of the TRO where I was supposed to get my property, that has yet to take place. My attorney has reached out to Mr. Watters, they've tried to get that accommodated. I had seven days at the time from Ms. Little being served and that was back in November, we're now approaching February and I still have not been able to get an exact date of when I can go get the rest of my property.

THE COURT: All right. Before we move onto that issue, let's finish this issue. Thank you for flagging that and I will ask about that.

All right. So the Court has received that information, the information is legible and now she will let you know the potential dates for your appointment.

THE CLERK: We could do Thursday, April 25th, 2024

at 1:30 p.m. 1 MR. COLE: I'm okay with that. 2 MR. WATTERS: That's fine, Your Honor. 3 4 THE COURT: All right. That's for the return date. And then do we have a date for short time turnaround for FCS? 5 THE CLERK: Let me call. 6 THE COURT: Okay. So we'll go off the record for a 7 moment, we need to call family court services to get a short 8 turnaround date for you all so that you have the date before 9 you leave. 10 MR. COLE: Thank you. 11 (A brief recess was taken.) 12 THE COURT: Back on the record in this case. 13 Everyone who was previously here is still here. 14 So with respect to a meeting with family court 15 services on a short turnaround, you all have available 16 February 20, 2024 at 9:00 a.m., or February 22, 2024 at 17 9:00 a.m., which date works best for the parties whether 18 you're at family court services recommending counseling. 19 That's fine, Your Honor. MR. WATTERS: 20 MR. COLE: I can do the 22nd. 21 THE COURT: You can do the 22nd? 22 23 MR. COLE: Yes. THE COURT: So we will set your appointment with 2.4 family court services to take place on February 22, 2024 at 25 9:00 a.m., and the family court services will likely reach 26

out to you prior to that date to give you information 1 regarding orientation for the family court services process, 2 so please do respond to any communications from them. 3 All right. And then back to our return date, I'm going to vacate the April 25, 1:30 p.m. and instead, are you 5 all available on March 26, 2024 at 2:00 p.m.? 6 MR. COLE: What day is that, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: It's a Tuesday. 8 MR. COLE: That should work. 9 I'm just checking my calendar, Your 10 MR. WATTERS: Honor, March 22nd. 11 THE COURT: No, March 26th. 12 MR. WATTERS: March 26th at 2:00 p.m.? 13 THE COURT: Yes, and that would be for return to 14 court here for receipt of the FCS report. 15 MR. WATTERS: That's fine, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: And that works for you as well, 17 Mr. Cole? 18 MR. COLE: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And then next I see 20 at Number 6 of the proposed DV-116, it states, "Restrained 21 22 party has a pending criminal case and has the case number in this County with the first court date of February 7, 2024, 23 and the parties agree that the criminal case should be 2.4 resolved before this trial," that's fine. 25 At Number 9, it states, "Party stipulates to 26

professionally supervised visitation as stated on the record." Then there's additional verbiage about restrained party reserving her right to appeal the TRO. My inclination is to strike that, if she wants to appeal she can appeal, but that's not part of the Court's order. So I'm going to take that additional verbiage out.

MR. WATTERS: Understood, Your Honor.

2.4

THE COURT: All right. So with respect to the professionally supervised visitation as stated on the record, Mr. Cole, what is your position. So what was represented before you arrived is that as of Friday the parties were discussing the terms of the supervised visitation and that there were a couple of things that still needed to be resolved, first of all, the number of hours per week for the supervised visitation, and also who would be doing the supervised visitation.

So first with respect to the number of hours per week, how many number of hours on the -- are you agreeable to?

MR. COLE: Anywhere between, I would say, 10 to 20 hours a week. I don't know if that's -- my attorney and Mr. Watters were discussing, so I'm coming in just, you know, saying to show up.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Watters, what did you discuss with the attorney?

MR. WATTERS: It was up to eight hours per day,

1 three times per week.

2.4

THE COURT: Eight hours a day.

MR. WATTERS: Eight hours per three days per week, so 24 hours total per week.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WATTERS: And we found a supervisor who is the person indicated who was going to do that.

THE COURT: All right. My inclination is to provide for eight hours per week, pending the family court services recommendation. So eight hours total per week.

MR. WATTERS: Your Honor, if I may request, may I ask for an appropriate findings or whatever reasoning you have behind that decision?

THE COURT: This is what I think is in the best interest of the child at this time. All right. So eight hours total per week of professionally supervised visitation.

And then the next issue was who was going to be supervising. Mr. Cole, did you have any information about the proposed supervisor?

MR. COLE: I proposed a service somewhere in the Santa Clara County just always it helps with the scheduling for me with going to work, again, I have no intention of keeping our son away from Ms. Little. It's his mother, I want that relationship, however, I need to make sure that it fits within my scheduling as well, that I'm not going out of my way and missing work or anything of that sort.

So I did request that my attorney reach out to Mr. Watters and state that he get something in Santa Clara County, so that way when the hours kind of fixate here, you know, sometime before work and I have family members that can get him there and then get him back, or if it's a situation where it's a little later during the day, I am at least around the area to get him going away from traffic on the way back home.

2.4

THE COURT: All right. So what has been proposed by Ms. Little is it appears to be supervised visitation by a person named Laura Inzunza (sic), located on Santa Clara Street in Hayward, California, is that where the supervised visitation that you proposed to take place?

MR. WATTERS: No, Your Honor, we're requesting in-home supervised visitation. The child has his own room, he's got a crib, he's got a whole setup there and the supervisor can travel over and provide supervision there.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I don't understand, you said in home, in Ms. Little's home?

MR. WATTERS: Correct, with the supervisor.

THE COURT: Oh, no, that is not granted. I'm not going to grant that. So I will direct the parties to reach out to Rally Visitation Services as the TRO had provided, reach out to Rally Visitation Services to schedule supervised visitation will not be in home, given the circumstances of this case.

All right so with that, oh, yes, and then with respect to the property, the TRO says Petitioner may request a civil standby to collect his personal belongings from the property located at 333 Main Street, Apartment 109, Redwood City, California 94063, within seven days of this order. So did you reach out for the civil standby?

2.4

MR. COLE: My attorney reached out to Mr. Watters to try to go over where they were going. I think there was some communication, but there was nothing that was set.

Ms. Little reached out to my mom and actually said that my property was in a storage because there was a time we didn't know if the apartment was still in use, the lease was renewed, so if I could just get the for sure whereabouts of my belongings, in addition to our son's because if this is going to go on for another six months, there's items that he needs before he actually grows out of them, like a swing set and numerous other items as well. So I just want to know the exact location and I'm available as early as this Saturday and I can make the accommodations and arrangements to go get proceedings and get them all situated immediately.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Watters, where are Mr. Cole's belongings and the baby's belongings.

MR. WATTERS: We discussed this, my client and I discussed this, Your Honor, Sunday the 28th would be appropriate. The belongings are at the apartment storage unit, so we can accommodate him this weekend.

THE COURT: And where is the apartment storage unit 1 located? 2 MR. WATTERS: In the apartment complex, Your 3 4 Honor. THE COURT: When you say "the apartment complex," 5 you mean the apartment located at 333 Main Street, Apartment 6 7 109 in Redwood City? MR. WATTERS: That's correct, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. So Sunday the 28th at what 9 time? 10 MR. WATTERS: 12:00 p.m., Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Does 12:00 p.m. work for 12 you, Mr. Cole? 13 MR. COLE: I would request it to be on Saturday 14 given the fact that getting the property back to the 15 residence on Sunday would give me a short amount of time to 16 get everything set up and get back to our son before having 17 to go back to work on Monday. At least going in on Saturday 18 gives me a full day on Sunday to get everything situated and 19 organized before going back to work. 20 THE COURT: That seems like a reasonable request in 21 the best interest of the child. 22 23 MR. WATTERS: Your Honor, my client starts -restarts an old employment on Monday the 29th, she has an 2.4 extensive amount of processing to do with Kaiser, so she's 25 not available on Saturday the 27th. 26

THE COURT: All right. Sir, she said she's not 1 available, so. 2 MR. COLE: I don't understand how a day before her 3 4 going back to work is more suitable than two days before her going back to work. 5 THE COURT: I hear what you're saying, why is 6 7 that? MR. WATTERS: Doesn't have to do anything other than 8 be at the property to let the people into the storage unit, 9 so it doesn't convenience my client to do it on Sunday, but 10 with Saturday all the processing with Kaiser, restarting her 11 job, is just too much. 12 THE COURT: Can you just go on Sunday and get the 13 property? 14 MR. COLE: Sunday it is. 15 THE COURT: All right. So Sunday the 28th at 16 12:00 p.m. Mr. Cole, you'll have a civil standby. 17 MR. COLE: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: And to collect your personal belongings 19 from the storage unit and the apartment complex located at 20 333 Main Street in Redwood City. 2.1 All right, so, have we taken care of everything for 22 23 today. MR. WATTERS: Yes, Your Honor. 2.4 THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to finish up 25 this paperwork and then I'll be presenting it to Mr. Cole to 26

take down to the clerk's office to have it file stamped and then if you all could just wait in the back when Mr. Cole returns from the clerk's office, he will give a copy to the deputy who will then hand you your service copy.

MR. WATTERS: Your Honor, is it all right if I accompany Mr. Cole to the clerk's office just to make sure he does it correctly, or --

THE COURT: No, you may not.

2.4

MR. WATTERS: Okay, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. This is a domestic violence restraining order situation and Mr. Cole can go by himself.

I've never even had that request.

MR. WATTERS: I just wanted to see if he had trouble filing it or if I can help him in any way.

THE COURT: No, thank you.

MR. COLE: Your Honor, I've gone through this process since August and I have been to the clerk's office several times while Ms. Little and her attorney actually missed a court date on October 12th. I'm very capable of doing this by myself.

MR. WATTERS: I didn't mean to suggest otherwise,
Your Honor, I apologize.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cole, he's capable of filing his documents. All right so we're off the record.

Mr. Cole, you're given a purple card so that will get you to the front of the line in the clerk's office.

ĺ	
1	MR. COLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	
3	(The proceedings were concluded.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	IN THE MUNICIPAL/SUPERIOR COURT
2	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
4	
5	JAMES COLE,
6	Petitioner,)
7	vs.) No. 23-FAM-01674-B
8	BRITTINY LITTLE,) Respondent.)
9)
10	
11	I, La Tasha Peters, a Official Court Reporter of
12	the Municipal/Superior Court, in and for the County of
13	San Mateo, State of California, do hereby certify that
14	foregoing pages 1 through 18, comprise a true, accurate and
15	correct computer-aided transcription of the proceedings that
16	I reported on January 22, 2024, in the matter of the
17	above-entitled cause.
18	
19	DATED: February 16, 2024
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	LA TASHA PETERS, CSR 12110
25	
26	